1		CITY OF KANNAPOLIS, NC
2		BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
3		
4		Minutes of Special Meeting
5		Tuesday April 4, 2023
6	TI D 1 C 1 !	T
7	_	net on Tuesday April 4, 2023 at 6:00 PM at City Hall, 401 Laureate
8	Way, Kannapolis, North Car	olina.
9 10	<b>Board Members Present:</b>	Dynam Franch Chain
11	Board Members Fresent:	Ryan French, Chair
12		Emily Joshi, Vice-Chair
13		Cyrus Rattler
13 14		Daisy Malit
		Holden Sides
15		Wilfred Bailey, Sr.
16 17		Danielle Martini, Alternate Member
18	<b>Board Members Absent:</b>	None
19	Board Members Absent.	None
20	City Attorney:	Walter M. Safrit
21		
22	Staff Present:	Richard Smith, Planning Director
23		Ben Barcroft, Senior Planner
24		Pam Scaggs, Recording Secretary
25	X7 D	D 111011
26 27	Visitors Present:	David Miller
28	CALL TO ORDER	
29	Chair French called the mee	ting to order at 6:00pm
30	chan Trenen canca are mee	ting to order at oloopin.
31	ROLL CALL AND RECO	GNITION OF QUORUM
32	Recording Secretary Pam So	eaggs called the roll and presence of a quorum was recognized.
33		
34	APPROVAL OF AGENDA	
35		otion to approve the Agenda. Mr. Rattler noted that the date on the
36	•	lit made the motion to approve the amended agenda, second by
37 38	Ms. Martini and the motion	was unanimously approved.
39	Chair French recognized tha	t Wilfred Bailey joined the meeting.
40	Chan Trenen recognized tha	t willied balley joined the meeting.
41	APPROVAL OF MINUTE	CS
42	Chair French asked for a mo	otion to approve the March 7, 2023 meeting minutes which was made
43	by Mr. Rattler, second by M	s. Malit and the motion was unanimously approved.
44	DVIDV VO VVI : D	
45	PUBLIC HEARING	
46 47	SWORN IN FOR TESTIM	IONV
47	Ben Barcroft	IONI
40	Dell Daletott	

- BOA-2023-04 Request for a Special Use Permit for property located at 2832 South Ridge
  Avenue to allow for a single-family dwelling in the Residential 18 (R18) zoning district.
- 3 Senior Planner Ben Barcroft gave a presentation regarding a request for a Special Use Permit
- 4 (SUP) to allow a single-family dwelling on property located in the R18 zoning district. Mr.
- 5 Barcroft provided the application details for BOA-2023-04, attached to and made part of these
- 6 minutes as Exhibit 1, noting the applicant, size, and location of the proposed use. He noted that
- 7 Table 4.2.B(5) of the Kannapolis Development Ordinance (KDO) requires a SUP for single-family
- 8 residential uses in the R18 zoning district. Mr. Barcroft directed the Board's attention to Vicinity,
- 2 Zoning and Future Land Use maps and the conceptual site plan, further illustrating location of the home on the parcel.

11

He stated that staff found compliance with all Findings of Fact located in the staff report (see Exhibit 1), reminded the Board of the actions requested, concluded his presentation and made himself available for questions.

15

Ms. Joshi asked for clarification that "detached" meant that it is a stand-alone building and that "attached" meant that it was attached to another structure, i.e. townhome. Mr. Barcroft confirmed.

18

- Planning Director, Richard Smith, noted that the Planning and Zoning Commission rezoned the properties subject to R18 Residential at their February 2023 meeting. Mr. Smith added that single-
- family residential uses were permitted in the OI zoning district under the old Unified Development Ordinance, but that a SUP is required in the new Kannapolis Development Ordinance (KDO)
- 23 [Zoning Case No. Z-2023-01].

24

Mr. Bailey expressed concern that staff responded that the proposed home is in "harmony" with the rest of the neighborhood without knowing the aesthetics of the home. Mr. Barcroft responded that staff does not consider aesthetics but does consider adjacent uses. Mr. Smith clarified that, by state statute, staff cannot regulate the design of a home.

29

Mr. Bailey asked if curb, gutter and sidewalks will be required. Mr. Barcroft responded that sidewalks are not required because there are none on adjacent properties. Mr. Smith added that if this were a commercial project, or a large subdivision, then curb, gutter and sidewalks would be required.

34

Ms. Malit asked when the homes will be developed. Mr. Barcroft did not know the answer but suspected that it will sometime this year.

37

There being no additional questions or comments for staff, Chair French opened the Public Hearing which was then closed with no public comment made.

40

Chair French asked for a motion to accept the City's exhibits, including the staff report into the record which was made by Ms. Malit, second by Ms. Joshi and the motion was unanimously approved.

44

Chair French asked for a motion to approve or revise the Findings of Fact. Ms. Malit made the motion to approve the Findings of Fact, second by Mr. Rattler and the motion was unanimously

47 approved.

Chair French asked for a motion to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the issuance of the Special Use Permit. Mr. Sides made the motion to approve, second by Mr. Bailey and the motion was unanimously approved.

Chair French asked for a motion to issue the Order of Approval. Ms. Malit made the motion to approve the Order, second by Ms. Martini and the motion was unanimously approved.

# BOA-2023-05 – Request for a Special Use Permit for property located at 2838 South Ridge Avenue to allow for a single-family dwelling in the Residential 18 (R18) zoning district.

Mr. Barcroft stated that case BOA-2023-05 is basically the same request as was for BOA-2023-04 and gave a presentation stating that case details are the same with the exception of the address and parcel size (attached at Exhibit 2). Mr. Barcroft directed the Board's attention the Vicinity, Zoning and Future Land Use maps and the conceptual site plan. He stated that staff found compliance with all Findings of Fact located in the staff report (see Exhibit 2), reminded the Board of the actions requested, concluded his presentation and made himself available for questions.

There being no additional questions or comments for staff, Chair French opened the Public Hearing which was then closed with no public comment made.

Chair French asked for a motion to accept the City's exhibits, including the staff report into the record which was made by Ms. Martini, second by Mr. Bailey and the motion was unanimously approved.

Chair French asked for a motion to approve or revise the Findings of Fact. Ms. Malit made the motion to approve the Findings of Fact, second by Ms. Joshi and the motion was unanimously approved.

Chair French asked for a motion to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the issuance of the Special Use Permit. Ms. Martini made the motion to approve, second by Ms. Malit and the motion was unanimously approved.

Chair French asked for a motion to issue the Order of Approval. Ms. Malit made the motion to approve the Order, second by Ms. Joshi and the motion was unanimously approved.

# <u>BOA-2023-06</u> – Request for a Special Use Permit for property located at 2850 South Ridge Avenue to allow for a single-family dwelling in the Residential 18 (R18) zoning district.

Mr. Barcroft stated that case BOA-2023-06 is the same as the previous two cases and is attached as Exhibit 3. He noted the address and parcel size and directed the Board's attention the Vicinity, Zoning and Future Land Use maps as well as the conceptual site plan. He stated that staff found compliance with all Findings of Fact located in the staff report (see Exhibit 2), reminded the Board of the actions requested, concluded his presentation and made himself available for questions.

There being no additional questions or comments for staff, Chair French opened the Public Hearing which was then closed with no public comment made.

 1 Chair French asked for a motion to accept the City's exhibits, including the staff report into the 2 record which was made by Ms. Malit, second by Mr. Sides and the motion was unanimously 3 approved.

4 5

Chair French asked for a motion to approve or revise the Findings of Fact. Mr. Bailey made the motion to approve the Findings of Fact, second by Ms. Malit and the motion was unanimously approved.

7 8 9

6

Chair French asked for a motion to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the issuance of the Special Use Permit. Ms. Joshi made the motion to approve, second by Ms. Martini and the motion was unanimously approved.

11 12 13

10

Chair French asked for a motion to issue the Order of Approval. Ms. Malit made the motion to approve the Order, second by Mr. Sides and the motion was unanimously approved.

14 15 16

17

18

#### PLANNING DIRECTOR UPDATE

Planning Director, Richard Smith reviewed Planning permit statistics for the Board and directed their attention to a graphic which illustrated cases and permits issued by the Planning Department for the first quarter of 2023.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25 26 Ms. Malit asked if additional schools will be built. Mr. Smith responded that Cabarrus County is building a new Northwest Cabarrus High School and provided the location. Mr. Bailey noted that Northwest Cabarrus High School is a replacement, not a new school. Mr. Smith confirmed that it is a replacement school, but that it will be much larger than the existing school and that the existing high school will be utilized as the middle school and then the existing middle school will be utilized as split elementary school. Mr. Rattler asked if students living within City limits will have the ability to choose the school they attend. Mr. Smith responded that he did not know for certain.

27 28 29

30

#### **OTHER BUSINESS**

Ms. Martini asked about the moratorium on new construction due to sewer capacity. Mr. Smith responded that there was never a moratorium and explained the wastewater allocation process.

31 32 33

#### **ADJOURN**

There being no further business, Ms. Martini made the motion to adjourn, second by Mr. Bailey and the motion was unanimously approved.

35 36 37

34

The meeting was adjourned at 6:27 PM on Tuesday, April 4, 2023.

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

Pam Scaggs, Recording Board of Adjustment

Ryan French, Chair Board of Adjustment

# **EXHIBIT 1**



# **Board of Adjustment April 4, 2023 Meeting**

# **Staff Report**

**TO:** Board of Adjustment

**FROM:** Ben Barcroft, Senior Planner

SUBJECT: Case# BOA-2023-04: Special Use Permit – 2832 S Ridge Ave

**Applicant: David Miller** 

Request for a Special Use Permit to allow for a single-family detached dwelling on property located at 2832 S. Ridge Ave.

#### A. Actions Requested by Board of Adjustment

- 1. Motion to accept the City's exhibits into the record.
- 2. Motion to approve/revise Findings of Fact for the Special Use Permit.
- 3. Motion to approve (approve with conditions) (deny) the issuance of the Special Use Permit
- 4. Motion to Issue Order of Approval.

#### **B.** Required Votes to Pass Requested Action

A majority vote is required to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the requested actions.

#### C. Background

The applicant, David Miller, is requesting a Special Use Permit (SUP) to allow for a Single-family detached dwelling in the Residential 18 (R18) zoning district on approximately 0.288 +/- acres of property located at 2832 S. Ridge Ave., further identified as Cabarrus County Parcel Identification Number 56128391220000.

Pursuant to Table 4.2.B(5) of the Kannapolis Development Ordinance (KDO), issuance of a SUP is required for Single-family detached dwelling uses in the R18 zoning district.

#### **D. Fiscal Considerations**

None

#### E. Policy Issues

Section 2.5.A(5) of the KDO requires that the Board of Adjustment shall only approve a special use permit if the applicant demonstrates that the criteria below have been met. Staff analysis of each criterion is noted.

**Staff Findings of Fact -** Based on application review: Yes No The proposed conditional use will be in harmony with the area in which it is to be X located and in general conformance with the City's Land Use Plan. This property is in the "Suburban Activity 1" Character Area in the Move Kannapolis Forward 2030 Comprehensive Plan. This Character Area includes primarily regionalscale commercial development that can accommodate large format commercial developments. The plan also calls for secondary uses of multifamily and single-family residential. The property is currently adjacent to single-family and vacant lots. Based on the character areas noted above, the proposed development is compatible with the future and existing uses in the surrounding area. Adequate measures shall be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to X minimize traffic hazards and to minimize traffic congestion on the public roads. The proposed construction of a single-family dwelling is not anticipated to cause any traffic hazards or traffic congestion. The proposed use shall not be noxious or offensive by reason of vibration, noise,  $\mathbf{X}$ odor, dust, smoke or gas. No vibration, noise, odor, dust, smoke, or gas beyond what would be anticipated for a single-family detached dwelling is expected as a result of this proposed use. The establishment of the proposed use shall not impede the orderly development X and improvement of surrounding property for uses permitted within the zoning district. The proposed use would not impede development of the surrounding properties for uses allowed within their respective zoning districts. The proposed single-family dwelling is compatible with the adjacent uses of single-family residential. The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the proposed use shall not be X detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare. There is no apparent danger or detriment to the overall public safety, health and welfare resulting from the proposed use. The proposed use is subject to all the requirements of the Kannapolis Development Ordinance. The proposed use complies with all applicable provisions of the KDO. X The proposed use shall comply with all sections of the Kannapolis Development Ordinance, conditions of approval, and any other applicable local, state and Federal regulations. It is understood by the applicant that unless specifically relieved of a requirement, in writing, all KDO requirements must be met. The applicant consents in writing to all conditions of approval included in the X approved special use permit. N/A unless the Board of Adjustment determines to add conditions.

#### F. Legal Issues

#### **Board's Findings of Fact** - Based on application review and public hearing.

In order to determine whether a special use permit is warranted, the Board must decide that each of the six findings as outlined below has been met and that the additional approval criteria has been satisfactorily addressed. If the Board concurs completely with the findings of the staff, no additional findings of fact are necessary, and the staff findings should be approved as part of the decision. However, if the Board wishes to approve different findings (perhaps as a result of additional evidence or testimony presented at the public hearing), alternate findings need to be included as part of the six criteria below. Should a special use permit be approved, the Board may place conditions on the use as part of the approval to assure that adequate mitigation measures are associated with the use.

Yes	No	The proposed conditional use will be in harmony with the area in which it is to be located and in general conformance with the City's Land Use Plan.
		Adequate measures shall be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to minimize traffic hazards and to minimize traffic congestion on the public roads.
		The proposed use shall not be noxious or offensive by reason of vibration, noise, odor, dust, smoke or gas.
		The establishment of the proposed use shall not impede the orderly development and improvement of surrounding property for uses permitted within the zoning district.
		The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the proposed use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare.
		The proposed use complies with all applicable provisions of the KDO.
		The applicant consents in writing to all conditions of approval included in the approved special use permit.

#### **G. Recommendation**

Based on the above findings, staff recommends **approval** of the Special Use Permit based on the staff Findings of Fact (or as modified by the Board), the conceptual site plan, and compliance with all local, state and federal requirements.

The Board of Adjustment should consider all facts and testimony after conducting the Public Hearing and render a decision accordingly to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the Special Use Permit.

### H. Attachments

- Special Use Permit Application 1.
- Vicinity Map 2.
- 3.
- Zoning Map Future Land Use Map Conceptual Site Plan 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- List of Notified Properties
  Notice to Adjacent Property Owners
  Posted Public Notice 7.
- 8.

### I. Issue Reviewed By:

Planning Director	X
Assistant City Manager	X
City Attorney	X

# **EXHIBIT 2**



# **Board of Adjustment April 4, 2023 Meeting**

# **Staff Report**

**TO:** Board of Adjustment

**FROM:** Ben Barcroft, Senior Planner

SUBJECT: Case# BOA-2023-05: Special Use Permit – 2838 S Ridge Ave

**Applicant: David Miller** 

Request for a Special Use Permit to allow for a single-family detached dwelling on property located at 2838 S. Ridge Ave.

#### A. Actions Requested by Board of Adjustment

- 1. Motion to accept the City's exhibits into the record.
- 2. Motion to approve/revise Findings of Fact for the Special Use Permit.
- 3. Motion to approve (approve with conditions) (deny) the issuance of the Special Use Permit
- 4. Motion to Issue Order of Approval.

#### **B.** Required Votes to Pass Requested Action

A majority vote is required to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the requested actions.

#### C. Background

The applicant, David Miller, is requesting a Special Use Permit (SUP) to allow for a Single-family detached dwelling in the Residential 18 (R18) zoning district on approximately 0.288 +/- acres of property located at 2838 S. Ridge Ave., further identified as Cabarrus County Parcel Identification Number 56128390350000.

Pursuant to Table 4.2.B(5) of the Kannapolis Development Ordinance (KDO), issuance of a SUP is required for Single-family detached dwelling uses in the R18 zoning district.

#### **D. Fiscal Considerations**

None

#### E. Policy Issues

Section 2.5.A(5) of the KDO requires that the Board of Adjustment shall only approve a special use permit if the applicant demonstrates that the criteria below have been met. Staff analysis of each criterion is noted.

**Staff Findings of Fact -** Based on application review: Yes No The proposed conditional use will be in harmony with the area in which it is to be X located and in general conformance with the City's Land Use Plan. This property is in the "Suburban Activity 1" Character Area in the Move Kannapolis Forward 2030 Comprehensive Plan. This Character Area includes primarily regionalscale commercial development that can accommodate large format commercial developments. The plan also calls for secondary uses of multifamily and single-family residential. The property is currently adjacent to single-family and vacant lots. Based on the character areas noted above, the proposed development is compatible with the future and existing uses in the surrounding area. Adequate measures shall be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to X minimize traffic hazards and to minimize traffic congestion on the public roads. The proposed construction of a single-family dwelling is not anticipated to cause any traffic hazards or traffic congestion. The proposed use shall not be noxious or offensive by reason of vibration, noise,  $\mathbf{X}$ odor, dust, smoke or gas. No vibration, noise, odor, dust, smoke, or gas beyond what would be anticipated for a single-family detached dwelling is expected as a result of this proposed use. The establishment of the proposed use shall not impede the orderly development X and improvement of surrounding property for uses permitted within the zoning district. The proposed use would not impede development of the surrounding properties for uses allowed within their respective zoning districts. The proposed single-family dwelling is compatible with the adjacent uses of single-family residential. The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the proposed use shall not be X detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare. There is no apparent danger or detriment to the overall public safety, health and welfare resulting from the proposed use. The proposed use is subject to all the requirements of the Kannapolis Development Ordinance. The proposed use complies with all applicable provisions of the KDO. X The proposed use shall comply with all sections of the Kannapolis Development Ordinance, conditions of approval, and any other applicable local, state and Federal regulations. It is understood by the applicant that unless specifically relieved of a requirement, in writing, all KDO requirements must be met. The applicant consents in writing to all conditions of approval included in the X approved special use permit.

N/A unless the Board of Adjustment determines to add conditions.

#### F. Legal Issues

#### **Board's Findings of Fact** - Based on application review and public hearing.

In order to determine whether a special use permit is warranted, the Board must decide that each of the six findings as outlined below has been met and that the additional approval criteria has been satisfactorily addressed. If the Board concurs completely with the findings of the staff, no additional findings of fact are necessary, and the staff findings should be approved as part of the decision. However, if the Board wishes to approve different findings (perhaps as a result of additional evidence or testimony presented at the public hearing), alternate findings need to be included as part of the six criteria below. Should a special use permit be approved, the Board may place conditions on the use as part of the approval to assure that adequate mitigation measures are associated with the use.

Yes	No	The proposed conditional use will be in harmony with the area in which it is to be located and in general conformance with the City's Land Use Plan.
		Adequate measures shall be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to minimize traffic hazards and to minimize traffic congestion on the public roads.
		The proposed use shall not be noxious or offensive by reason of vibration, noise, odor, dust, smoke or gas.
		The establishment of the proposed use shall not impede the orderly development and improvement of surrounding property for uses permitted within the zoning district.
		The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the proposed use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare.
		The proposed use complies with all applicable provisions of the KDO.
		The applicant consents in writing to all conditions of approval included in the approved special use permit.

#### G. Recommendation

Based on the above findings, staff recommends **approval** of the Special Use Permit based on the staff Findings of Fact (or as modified by the Board), the conceptual site plan, and compliance with all local, state and federal requirements.

The Board of Adjustment should consider all facts and testimony after conducting the Public Hearing and render a decision accordingly to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the Special Use Permit.

### H. Attachments

- Special Use Permit Application 1.
- Vicinity Map 2.
- 3.
- Zoning Map Future Land Use Map Conceptual Site Plan 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- List of Notified Properties
  Notice to Adjacent Property Owners
  Posted Public Notice 7.
- 8.

### I. Issue Reviewed By:

Planning Director	X
Assistant City Manager	X
City Attorney	X

# **EXHIBIT 3**



# **Board of Adjustment April 4, 2023 Meeting**

# **Staff Report**

**TO:** Board of Adjustment

**FROM:** Ben Barcroft, Senior Planner

SUBJECT: Case# BOA-2023-06: Special Use Permit – 2850 S Ridge Ave

**Applicant: David Miller** 

Request for a Special Use Permit to allow for a single-family detached dwelling on property located at 2850 S. Ridge Ave.

#### A. Actions Requested by Board of Adjustment

- 1. Motion to accept the City's exhibits into the record.
- 2. Motion to approve/revise Findings of Fact for the Special Use Permit.
- 3. Motion to approve (approve with conditions) (deny) the issuance of the Special Use Permit
- 4. Motion to Issue Order of Approval.

#### **B.** Required Votes to Pass Requested Action

A majority vote is required to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the requested actions.

#### C. Background

The applicant, David Miller, is requesting a Special Use Permit (SUP) to allow for a Single-family detached dwelling in the Residential 18 (R18) zoning district on approximately 0.288 +/- acres of property located at 2850 S. Ridge Ave., further identified as Cabarrus County Parcel Identification Number 56128297890000.

Pursuant to Table 4.2.B(5) of the Kannapolis Development Ordinance (KDO), issuance of a SUP is required for Single-family detached dwelling uses in the R18 zoning district.

#### **D. Fiscal Considerations**

None

#### E. Policy Issues

Section 2.5.A(5) of the KDO requires that the Board of Adjustment shall only approve a special use permit if the applicant demonstrates that the criteria below have been met. Staff analysis of each criterion is noted.

**Staff Findings of Fact -** Based on application review: Yes No The proposed conditional use will be in harmony with the area in which it is to be X located and in general conformance with the City's Land Use Plan. This property is in the "Suburban Activity 1" Character Area in the Move Kannapolis Forward 2030 Comprehensive Plan. This Character Area includes primarily regionalscale commercial development that can accommodate large format commercial developments. The plan also calls for secondary uses of multifamily and single-family residential. The property is currently adjacent to single-family and vacant lots. Based on the character areas noted above, the proposed development is compatible with the future and existing uses in the surrounding area. Adequate measures shall be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to X minimize traffic hazards and to minimize traffic congestion on the public roads. The proposed construction of a single-family dwelling is not anticipated to cause any traffic hazards or traffic congestion. The proposed use shall not be noxious or offensive by reason of vibration, noise,  $\mathbf{X}$ odor, dust, smoke or gas. No vibration, noise, odor, dust, smoke, or gas beyond what would be anticipated for a single-family detached dwelling is expected as a result of this proposed use. The establishment of the proposed use shall not impede the orderly development X and improvement of surrounding property for uses permitted within the zoning district. The proposed use would not impede development of the surrounding properties for uses allowed within their respective zoning districts. The proposed single-family dwelling is compatible with the adjacent uses of single-family residential. The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the proposed use shall not be X detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare. There is no apparent danger or detriment to the overall public safety, health and welfare resulting from the proposed use. The proposed use is subject to all the requirements of the Kannapolis Development Ordinance. The proposed use complies with all applicable provisions of the KDO. X The proposed use shall comply with all sections of the Kannapolis Development Ordinance, conditions of approval, and any other applicable local, state and Federal regulations. It is understood by the applicant that unless specifically relieved of a requirement, in writing, all KDO requirements must be met. The applicant consents in writing to all conditions of approval included in the X approved special use permit. N/A unless the Board of Adjustment determines to add conditions.

#### F. Legal Issues

#### **Board's Findings of Fact** - Based on application review and public hearing.

In order to determine whether a special use permit is warranted, the Board must decide that each of the six findings as outlined below has been met and that the additional approval criteria has been satisfactorily addressed. If the Board concurs completely with the findings of the staff, no additional findings of fact are necessary, and the staff findings should be approved as part of the decision. However, if the Board wishes to approve different findings (perhaps as a result of additional evidence or testimony presented at the public hearing), alternate findings need to be included as part of the six criteria below. Should a special use permit be approved, the Board may place conditions on the use as part of the approval to assure that adequate mitigation measures are associated with the use.

Yes	No	
		The proposed conditional use will be in harmony with the area in which it is to be located and in general conformance with the City's Land Use Plan.
		Adequate measures shall be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to minimize traffic hazards and to minimize traffic congestion on the public roads.
		The proposed use shall not be noxious or offensive by reason of vibration, noise, odor, dust, smoke or gas.
		The establishment of the proposed use shall not impede the orderly development and improvement of surrounding property for uses permitted within the zoning district.
		The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the proposed use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare.
		The proposed use complies with all applicable provisions of the KDO.
		The applicant consents in writing to all conditions of approval included in the approved special use permit.

#### **G. Recommendation**

Based on the above findings, staff recommends **approval** of the Special Use Permit based on the staff Findings of Fact (or as modified by the Board), the conceptual site plan, and compliance with all local, state and federal requirements.

The Board of Adjustment should consider all facts and testimony after conducting the Public Hearing and render a decision accordingly to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the Special Use Permit.

### H. Attachments

- Special Use Permit Application 1.
- Vicinity Map 2.
- 3.
- Zoning Map Future Land Use Map Conceptual Site Plan 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- List of Notified Properties
  Notice to Adjacent Property Owners
  Posted Public Notice 7.
- 8.

### I. Issue Reviewed By:

Planning Director	X
Assistant City Manager	X
City Attorney	X