1	CITY OF KANNPOLIS, NC					
2	PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION					
3						
4		Minutes of Meeting				
5		March 21, 2023				
6						
7	The Kannapolis Planning and Zonin	ng Commission met on Tuesday March 21, 2023, at 6:00 PM in				
8	the Laureate Center of City Hall. This meeting was held in accordance with notice published in the					
9	Independent Tribune (Appendix A)	, as well as on the City's website.				
10						
11	Commission Members Present:	Chris Puckett, Chair				
12		Jeff Parker, Vice-Chair				
13		Daniel O'Kelly				
14		James Litaker				
5		Larry Ensley				
6		Scott Trott				
17		Shelly Stein				
18		Travis Gingras				
19		Robert Severt, ETJ Representative				
20						
21	Commission Members Absent:	None				
22	Visitors:	Richard Petersheim				
23	Visitors:	Bart Murr				
24 25		Dana Coulter				
26		Wyatt Street				
27		Thomas Auer				
28		Joe Hatley				
29		Adam Coulter				
30		Adam Coulter				
31	Staff Present:	Richard Smith, Planning Director				
32	Starr Presente	Boyd Stanley, Assistant Planning Director				
33		Rayvon Walker, Planner				
34		Kathryn Stapleton, Planner				
35		Pam Scaggs, Recording Secretary				
36						
37	CALL TO ORDER					
38	Chair Puckett called the meeting to order at 6:00 P.M.					
39	5					
40	ROLL CALL AND RECOGNIT	ION OF QUORUM				
41	Recording Secretary, Pam Scaggs	called the roll. The presence of a quorum was recognized.				
42	<i>c p c</i>					
43	APPROVAL OF AGENDA					
44	Chair Puckett asked for any chang	es to the agenda and hearing none, Dr. Litaker made the motion				
	to approve, second by Vice-Chair I	Parker and the motion was unanimously approved.				
45	to approve, second of the onali	가슴에 걸려 잘 잘했다. 전에 가지 않는 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요. 나는 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요.				

1 APPROVAL OF MINUTES

2 Chair Puckett asked for a motion regarding the February 21, 2023 minutes. Mr. Ensley made the

3 motion to approve, second by Mr. Trott and the motion was unanimously approved.

5 PUBLIC HEARING

<u>Z-2023-07 - Rezoning for seventeen (17) properties located on Mooresville Road, Chestnut</u> <u>Ave. and Central Ave. from Residential 8 (R8) to Center City (CC) zoning district.</u>

8 Planning Director, Richard Smith, stated that case Z-2023-07 is a straight rezoning request (no 9 conditions) and gave a brief overview of the request, stating that staff felt comfortable 10 recommending a straight rezoning for these properties because the requested Center City (CC) 11 zoning district, as well as the requirement for a Development Agreement, allows for more strict 12 development guidelines than does other City zoning districts.

13

Assistant Planning Director, Boyd Stanley, gave a PowerPoint presentation regarding case Z-2023-07, attached to and made part of these minutes as Exhibit 1. Mr. Stanley stated that the request consists of seventeen (17) properties, and noted the applicant, location, and the size. He reiterated

17 that the applicant is requesting to rezone from Residential 8 (R8) to Center City (CC).

18

Mr. Stanley directed the Commission's attention to Vicinity, Zoning, and Future Land Use Plan maps and provided the surrounding zoning districts and their current uses as well as future land uses. He utilized the Zoning Map to illustrate location of surrounding zoning districts. He reviewed the Policy Issues stating that staff found the request to be consistent with the Move Kannapolis Forward Comprehensive Plan and is recommending approval of the rezoning request, concluded his presentation and made himself available for questions. Mr. Stanley noted that the Kannapolis Development Ordinance (KDO) is a strong document and that there are "form-based design

- 26 standards" which further restricts development in the CC zoning district.
- 27

Dr. Litaker asked if the roads [Chestnut and Central Ave.] will remain open or does the developer
 intend to incorporate those streets into development of the properties. Mr. Stanley deferred to Mr.
 Smith who responded that the roads will remain open.

31

32 Mr. Gingras asked the intended use of the property. Mr. Stanley deferred to the applicant but 33 indicated that it will most likely be developed as multi-family residential development with some 34 type of retail. He added that since the request is a straight rezoning request, the applicant would not be tied to a site plan. Mr. Gingras expressed concern that the request is not conditional and suggested 35 36 that approval of Z-2023-07 will set a precedent for future development. Mr. Stanley stated that the CC design standards are restrictive, and that staff felt comfortable recommending approval with 37 38 those restrictive standards. He added that while the CC zoning district is not appropriate for all areas of the City, it is appropriate for the subject properties due to their proximity to the existing CC zoning 39 40 district. Mr. Stanley reminded the Commission that the KDO is a strong document and that the Commission should anticipate more straight rezoning requests instead of conditional requests. Mr. 41 42 Gingras stated that the CC zoning district offers a variety of development options and feels that a conditional rezoning would have been more appropriate. Mr. Smith stated that staff can encourage 43 44 conditional rezoning when appropriate, but that staff cannot require an applicant to submit a 45 conditional rezoning. He added that in addition to the strict development guidelines in the CC zoning district, a Development Agreement would also be required which could lend to additional
 development restrictions.

3

Mr. Trott asked the identity of the property owners and Ms. Stein asked if the existing homes will
be demolished or moved. Mr. Stanley responded that the applicant, Kannapolis Land Partners LLC,
is the property owner and he deferred to them regarding Ms. Stein's question.

7

8 Vice-Chair Parker asked the maximum building height. Mr. Smith responded that building height in 9 the CC zoning district is currently 72 feet, but that the Commission should expect to see a text 10 amendment adjusting that height noting that both City Council and Cabarrus County schools has 11 expressed interest in increasing building height.

12

13 Chair Puckett asked the location of the CC zoning district. Mr. Smith responded that traditionally 14 the CC district only included the properties that were located within the "loop" [properties between 15 Dale Earnhardt Boulevard/Loop Road and Main Street], but that the 2030 Plan indicates that other 16 properties should be included as long as they are in close proximity to the traditional CC district 17 (roughly 2 blocks). Mr. Smith noted that there was previous discussion regarding adding North and 18 South Ridge Avenue into the CC district, but that City Council determined that the district would 19 not be extended out that far.

20

Mr. Ensley asked if the CC district will "creep down" Mooresville Road (Hwy 3). Mr. Smith responded that it will not and that the intention of the CC zoning district is to facilitate development of the core downtown area.

24

25 Representative for the applicant, Bart Murr, made himself available for questions.

26

Mr. Gingras, Chair Puckett and Mr. Trott asked the intended use of the property, whether the
property will be developed or sold and whether they also own the properties that front Dale Earnhardt
Boulevard. Mr. Murr responded that there is not an intended use for the property at this time and

30 that the rezoning request is to make all of their properties consistent for ease of future development.

He added that the properties will most likely be sold. Mr. Murr stated that Kannapolis Land Partners
 also owns the properties fronting Dale Earnhardt Boulevard.

33

Mr. Smith added that with the proximity to the downtown core area as well as improvements to Mooresville Road. (Hwy 3), and in addition to location of the Cabarrus Health Alliance, the credit union and the Atrium healthcare building, development of the properties would create a "marquis corner" into the downtown core and encourage further development.

38

Vice-Chair Parker asked who would be responsible for improvement of the streets and Mr. Trott asked if curb and gutter would be added. Mr. Smith responded that while Chestnut and Central Avenue are City streets, and that Dale Earnhardt Boulevard and Mooresville Road (Hwy 3) are NCDOT streets, the type of development would determine whether street improvements are required.

44

There being no additional questions or comments for staff or the applicant, Chair Puckett opened the Public Hearing, which was then closed with no public comment made.

- 1
- 2 Chair Puckett asked for a motion regarding the Statement of Consistency. Mr. Trott made the motion
- 3 to approve, second by Vice-Chair Parker and unanimously approved.
- 4

7

5 Chair Puckett asked for a motion regarding the Resolution to Zone. Mr. Ensley made the motion to 6 approve, second by Ms Stein and unanimously approved.

8 PLANNING DIRECTOR UPDATE

- 9 Mr. Smith reviewed Robert's Rules of Order and provided an update on Case No. CZ-2022-08 for 10 the Commission.
- 10 m

12 OTHER BUSINESS

- 13 Mr. Trott recalled that the Commission used to receive the monthly permit report and asked if they
- 14 could begin receiving it again. Mr. Smith responded that staff will provide the requested report at
- 15 the next meeting.
- 16

17 ADJOURN

- There being no further business, questions or comments, Chair Puckett asked for a motion to adjourn which was made by Mr. Ensley, second by Vice-Chair Parker and the motion was unanimously approved. The meeting adjourned at 6:45 PM on Tuesday, March 21, 2023.
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

27

26

Chris Puckett, Chair Planning and Zoning Commission

28 Pam Scaggs, Recording Secretary

North Carolin Media Grou	Richmo	x 27283 ond, VA 23261-728:			onfirmat 0000827350	A	PPENDIX A
Client:	Client: CITY OF KANNAPOLIS			Payor :	CITY OF KAN	INAPOLIS	
Phone:	7049204300		Phone: Account:	7049204300			
Account: 3143368					3143368		
Address:	BRIDGETTE BELL		Address:	BRIDGETTE	BELL		
	KANNAPOLIS NC 2			KANNAPOLI	S NC 28081		
Sales Rep	ales Rep Accnt Rep Ordered By		Fax:	7049337463			
aboan aboan Pam EMail: ap@kannapolisnc.gov							
Total Amo	unt	\$508.34					
Payment A	mount	\$508.34					
Amount Du	ie	\$0.00	Tear She	eets	Proofs	Affidavits	PO Number:
Tax Amount Payment Me	Contraction and the second	0.00 t Card	0		0	1	
<u>Ad Nur</u> 000082	<u>nber</u> 7350-01	Ad Type CLS Liner	Ad Size 2 X 40 li		<u>Color</u> \$0.00		
	duction Method booker (liner)		Producti	on Notes			
Product and Zone CON Independent Trib		Placement C-Announce	ments	Position General	Spec Notice	<u># Insert</u> 2	ts
	Dates 3/10/20	Text: NOTICE	OF PUBLIC	HEARING F	Planning and	Zoning	

ZONINGMAPAMENDMENTZ202207WESTDCHESTNUTANDCENTRA

	KANNA	POLIS	
	NOTICE OF PUE	LIC HEARING	
Pla	nning and Zoning C	commission Meeting	1
	Tuesday, March	21 at 6:00 pm	
Public Hearing to o located at 317, 321 nue and 304, 306, (R8) zoning district erties are approxim Cabarrus County 56144052150000, 56134959960000, 56134959960000, 56134978590000, Anyone who requi tion, or a modific gram, service, or	consider a request 1, 331 & 341 Moo 308, 310 and 312 t to a Center City () nately 3.5 +/- con Parcel Identif 56144042410000, 561440470000, 561440470000, 6134978070000, 561440470000, 6134978070000, 56 res an auxiliary ai ation of policies () activity of the City	- West D, Chestnut to rezone sevente- resville Road; 306-3 Central Avenue fro CC) zoning district. bined acres and fu ication Numbers 56144031870000, 56134959230000, 5134959230000, 5134959230000, 5134959230000, 5134959230000, 5134959230000, 5134959230000, 5134959230000, 5134959230000, 5134959230000, 5134959230000, 5134959230000, 5134959230000, 5134959230000, 5134959230000, 5134959230000, 513495923000, 5134959200, 51349592000, 51349592000, 51349592000, 51349592000, 51349592000, 51349592000, 51349592000, 51349592000, 51349592000, 513495920000, 513495920000, 513495920000, 513495920000, 513495920000, 513495920000, 513495920000, 513495920000, 513495920000, 513495920000, 513495920000, 513495920000, 513495920000, 5134959200000000000000000000000000000000000	113 Chestnut Ave- m a Residential & The subject prop- rither identified at 56144052880000, 5614405180000, 56134951100000, 5613495810000, 66134958820000. ective communica articipate in a pro- suld contact the of ne at 704-920-430.

EXHIBIT 1



Planning and Zoning Commission March 21, 2023 Meeting

Staff Report

TO: Planning and Zoning Commission

- **FROM:** Richard Smith, Planning Director Boyd Stanley, Assistant Planning Director
- **SUBJECT:** Case #Z-2023-07: Mooresville Rd., Chestnut & Central Ave. Applicant: Kannapolis Land Partners, LLC

Applicant is requesting to rezone seventeen (17) properties located on Mooresville Road, Chestnut Ave. and Central Ave. from Residential 8 (R8) to Center City (CC) zoning district.

A. Actions Requested by Planning & Zoning Commission

- 1. Hold Public Hearing
- 2. Motion to adopt Statement of Consistency
- 3. Motion to adopt Resolution to Zone

B. Decision and Required Votes to Pass Requested Actions

Section 2.3.B.(1).a of the Kannapolis Development Ordinance (KDO) allows the Planning and Zoning Commission to render a final decision on a rezoning request. If there is a denial, an approval by a vote of less than three-fourths, or an appeal of the decision, then only the City Council shall have final decision-making authority. Any final decision rendered by the Commission may be appealed within fifteen (15) days to the City Council.

C. Background & Project Overview

D. Fiscal Considerations

None

E. Policy Issues

Section 2.5.A.(2).c of the KDO states that Amending the Zoning Map is a matter committed to the legislative discretion of the Planning and Zoning Commission or of the City Council, as authorized by this section. In determining whether to adopt or deny the proposed amendment, the Planning and Zoning Commission or the City Council, as applicable, may consider, and weigh the relevance of, whether and to what extent the proposed Zoning Map amendment:

1. Is the proposed rezoning consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and other applicable adopted City plans?

Yes, a portion of the properties are already located within the Downtown Center Character Area, with the remainder within the Urban Residential designation.

2. Is the proposed rezoning in conflict with any provision of this Ordinance or the City Code of Ordinances?

No, the Center City zoning designation is appropriate for this area.

3. Does the proposed rezoning correct an error in the existing zoning present at the time it was adopted?

No, but due to proximity to the Center City and Comprehensive Plan Character Areas, the zoning change is appropriate for this area.

4. Does the proposed rezoning allow uses that are compatible with existing and allowed uses on surrounding land and with the stability and character of any adjacent residential neighborhoods?

Yes, the properties are adjacent to residential and nonresidential land uses.

5. Does the proposed rezoning ensure efficient development within the City, taking into consideration the capacity and safety of the street network, the adequacy of public facilities, the suitability of the land for the uses allowed under the existing zoning, and other relevant considerations?

Yes, this request is located adjacent to roads with adequate capacity and in close proximity to the downtown core area of the City. Further, the suitability of future projects on this site will be further ensured by the necessity of a development agreement with the City as part of established wastewater allocation provisions.

6. Does the proposed rezoning result in a logical and orderly development pattern, taking into consideration the size of the subject lands and the zoning and existing and proposed development on surrounding lands?

Yes, the proximity of this site with the core downtown area and the newly expanded Highway 3/Mooresville Road sets this location apart as a marquis entryway into the City.

7. Does the proposed rezoning result in significant adverse impacts on the natural environment, including but not limited to water, air, noise, storm water

management, wildlife, vegetation, wetlands, and the natural functioning of the environment?

No, there are no anticipated significant environmental impacts from developing this property.

F. Legal Issues

None

G. Finding of Consistency with Comprehensive Plan

Staff finds this rezoning <u>consistent</u> with the goals and policies of the *Move Kannapolis Forward 2030 Comprehensive Plan*, adopted by City Council, which designates the subject properties as located within the "Urban Residential" and "Downtown Center" Character Areas in the *Move Kannapolis Forward 2030 Comprehensive Plan*. These Character Area designations encourage a mix of residential and nonresidential uses that would be appropriate for these parcels. Furthermore, staff finds the request for rezoning reasonable and in the public interest because it will provide development that is suitable for the area. The CC permitted uses would also be compatible with the surrounding zoning and are not anticipated to have an adverse effect on the capacity or safety of the surrounding street network, nor anticipated to generate parking problems or any adverse impact on the environment.

H. Staff Recommendation and Alternative Courses of Action

Staff Recommendation

The Planning and Zoning Commission may choose to approve or deny the petition as presented.

Based on the request being consistent with the *Move Kannapolis Forward 2030 Comprehensive Plan*, staff recommends approval for Zoning Map Amendment Case #Z-2023-07

Alternative Courses of Action

Motion to Approve (2 votes)

1. Should the Commission choose to approve the request for rezoning as presented in Case #Z-2023-07, a motion should be made to adopt the following Statement of Consistency:

Statement of Consistency: The Planning and Zoning Commission finds this rezoning <u>consistent</u> with the goals and policies of the Move Kannapolis Forward 2030 Comprehensive Plan, adopted by City Council, which designates the subject properties as located within the "Urban Residential" and "Downtown Center" Character Areas in the Move Kannapolis Forward 2030 Comprehensive Plan. These Character Area designations encourage a mix of residential and nonresidential uses that would be appropriate for these parcels. Furthermore, staff finds the request for rezoning reasonable and in the public interest because it will provide development that is suitable for the area. The Center City permitted uses would also be

compatible with the surrounding zoning and are not anticipated to have an adverse effect on the capacity or safety of the surrounding street network, nor anticipated to generate parking problems or any adverse impact on the environment.

2. Should the Commission choose to approve Case #Z-2023-07, a motion should be made to adopt the Resolution to Zone.

Motion to Deny (2 votes)

1. Should the Commission choose to recommend denial of Case #Z-2023-07, a motion should be made to adopt the following Statement of Consistency:

Statement of Consistency: The Planning and Zoning Commission finds this zoning map amendment as presented in Case #Z-2023-07 to be <u>inconsistent</u> with the goals and policies of the **Move Kannapolis Forward 2030 Comprehensive Plan**, adopted by City Council, because (state reason(s)) and is unreasonable and not in the public interest because (state reason(s)).

2. Should the Commission choose to deny Case #Z-2023-07, a motion should be made to deny the Resolution to Zone.

I. Attachments

- 1. Rezoning Application
- 2. Vicinity Map
- 3. Zoning Map
- 4. 2030 Future Land Use and Character Map
- 5. Neighborhood Meeting Information
- 6. Notice of Public Hearing
- 7. List of Notified Properties
- 8. Letter to Adjacent Property Owners
- 9. Posted Public Notice Sign
- 10. Resolution to Adopt a Statement of Consistency
- 11. Resolution to Zone

J. Issue Reviewed By:

- Planning Director
- Assistant City Manager
- City Attorney